

MJM Do. No. 1467-13

convenient if this minimum resolvable time is known at the beginning of simulation. Otherwise, provision must be made for re-organizing the time wheel as simulation progresses. This re-organization is very expensive.

Another difficulty is deciding the size of the time wheel. It must be large enough to cover the largest delay in the model. This assures that two different times do not have to occupy the same slot in the time wheel at the same time. This can limit the size of delay that can be modeled in a given amount of computer memory. The other options are to manage different times in the same slot or to manage a separate list of events that are far in the future. Either of these last two options introduces complexity and inefficiency into the simulator.

During the analog parts of the simulation, the minimum resolvable time is determined by the simulator as the time increment required to solve the algebraic-differential equations of the model accurately. At different times during simulation, the minimum resolvable time can vary by many orders of magnitude. This minimum resolvable time can sometimes act as a delay that needs to be scheduled. At the interface from analog to digital simulation, there are threshold crossings that need to be scheduled. The times of these threshold crossings are at analog time resolution.

FIG. 9 shows the sequence of steps involved in performing a simulation. At step 905, the design description is assembled. The design description includes any kind of input that describes the design to be simulated. It can include models describing physical devices and a netlist (a list of model instances and their interconnections and parameters). Models and the netlist can be expressed as text or in compiled form. At step 910, the simulatable model is assembled. This takes the design description and converts it into a collection of data structures and executable code that can be used by the core simulator to analyze the performance of the model representing the design to be simulated. At step 915, the core simulator simulates the model. The core simulator computes the performance of the mixed analog/digital model in the domains of time and frequency. Finally, at step 920, the post-processor allows the user to inspect the simulation results, for example in a graphical viewer, and to process the results according to selected criteria.

FIGs. 4A and 4B show the simulation process for simulating an analog and mixed signal digital-analog physical circuit or system. The simulation process shows where events are scheduled internal to the system. The simulation process of FIGs. 4A and 4B is akin to

the simulation process specified in IEEE Standard VHDL Analog and Mixed-Signal Extensions, IEEE Std 1076.1-1999, § 12.6.4, and is similar to the simulation performed by SABER.

In FIGs. 4A and 4B, at step 405, the simulator checks to see if there are more events to simulate. If there are scheduled times remaining, then there are more events to simulate. At step 410, assuming events remain to be simulated, scheduled analog events are handled. There are three kinds of analog events: arriving at the left boundary of a discontinuity, where the model to be simulated has executed a break; arrival at the right boundary of a discontinuity; and arrival at the time of the projected analog solution. At step 415, signals are updated. Updating signals includes propagating value changes to the signals. At step 420, processes are resumed. A *process* is a set of procedural steps in the hardware description language provided by the user. Processes can handle user signal changes, and can assign values to a signal. Assigning a value to a signal causes an event to be scheduled. At step 425, the timeslot is checked to see if it has ended. Because events can be scheduled with a zero delay (in other words, events can be added to be simulated immediately), the timeslot must be checked before the simulator can conclude that all scheduled events have been simulated. At step 430, assuming the end of the timeslot has been reached, postponed processes are resumed. A *postponed process* is a special process set to execute as a time change occurs. At step 435, the simulator checks to see if an analog projection is required. An analog projection is required if the previous analog projection only projected to the current scheduled time. If an analog projection is required, at step 440 the next analog solution is projected. Finally, at step 445, the time of the next analog/digital events is retrieved.

When there is a discernible minimum resolvable time, the simulation time can be represented as an integer. But when the delay between scheduled simulation times can be both very small and very large (i.e., the minimum resolvable time can vary), the time must be represented as a real number (non-integer). This, too, affects the complexity of the time wheel.

Accordingly, a need exists for a way to control the timing of event simulation that is not dependent on a fixed minimum resolvable time, and that allows for effectively unbounded growth in the number of scheduled times for simulation events.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A simulator models analog and mixed signal digital-analog physical circuits and systems in a digital computer. According to the invention, the simulator assigns scheduled times to the events. Using a non-order preserving hash function, the events are placed in buckets in a hash table. Events that hash to the same bucket but are at different times are placed within different lists in the bucket. The scheduled times for the events in each bucket are associated with each bucket by the hash function. The scheduled times for the events are organized in a heap. The simulator removes the earliest scheduled time from the heap, locates the associated bucket, finds the appropriate list within the bucket, and performs the events in the event list. The remaining scheduled times are re-organized into a new heap, and the process repeats until the heap is empty.

The foregoing and other objects, features and advantages of the invention will become more readily apparent from the following detailed description of a preferred embodiment, which proceeds with reference to the drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 shows a computer system implementing the method and apparatus of this invention.

FIGs. 2A and 2B show sample heaps that can be used as the heap of FIG. 1.

FIGs. 3A and 3B shows a sample hash table that can be used as the hash table of FIG.

1.

FIGs. 4A and 4B show in greater detail the prior art simulation process of FIG. 9.

FIG. 5 shows how events are placed in and removed from the heap of FIG. 1.

FIG. 6 shows how events can be added to the heap of FIG. 1.

FIG. 7 shows how events can be de-scheduled from the heap of FIG. 1.

FIG. 8 shows how events are processed when their scheduled time is reached in the simulator of FIG. 1.

FIG. 9 shows the sequence of steps involved in performing a simulation.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The time wheel used previously is a hash table that preserves order and has a fixed interval between times in the wheel (i.e., the places in the hash table). The time values are stored in sorted order by using a modulo hashing function. The price paid for that sorted order is the need to process empty slots to get to the next time slot with events.

According to the invention, a non-order preserving hash function is used. The problem of having to determine a minimum resolvable time for managing the empty slot problem is thereby eliminated. Long delays also will not require special processing. The hash function places events into buckets according to their scheduled times (the buckets thereby forming the hash table), but the buckets themselves have no assigned order.

A heap priority queue enables the tracking of times in the scheduler. The heap priority queue allows easy locating of the next event time. The heap priority queue restores the ordering capability lost by going to a non-order preserving hash table.

A hash table bucket contains a list of event lists. Each event list is labeled with the simulation time of the events in the list. When there are collisions of different simulation times hashing to the same bucket, the mechanism of lists labeled with the scheduled time keeps it sorted out.

The use of a non-order preserving hash table and a heap priority queue creates a uniform scheme whose efficiency does not depend on the relative sizes of the minimum and maximum delays. The amount of memory used by the scheme depends on the number of events and unique times. Nor does the amount of memory used depend on the sizes of the delays.

FIG. 1 shows a computer system 105 using the method and apparatus of this invention. The computer system 105 includes a computer 110, a monitor 115, a keyboard 120, and a mouse 125. Other components may be part of the computer system 105, even though not shown in FIG. 1. For example, computer system 105 can include other input/output devices, such as a plotting device. Computer system 105 also includes simulator 130. Simulator 130 performs a simulation of analog and mixed signal digital-analog physical circuits and systems.

In the preferred embodiment, the scheduled times for the events to be simulated are stored in a heap. Computer system 105 includes heap 135 for storing the scheduled times in a heap priority queue and hash table 138 for storing event lists of events to be simulated at given scheduled times. Buckets 140 are part of hash table 138. Buckets 140 store the event lists of the actual events to be simulated. This allows heap 135 to store only the scheduled times for the events to be simulated, simplifying heap management.

FIG. 2A shows a sample heap 205. Heap 205 includes, among other nodes, nodes 210, 215, 220, 225, 230, and 235. A heap is a data structure organized as a binary tree. Nodes in the binary tree are said to have a parent-child relationship. That is, a node in heap 205 that is one level higher than another node is called the *parent* of the other node, and the

other node is called a *child* of the parent node. In a heap, each parent node is smaller than its two children (this is known as the *heap property*). For example, node 210 stores the value 2, which is less than the values of nodes 215 (5) and 220 (8), node 215 (5) has a smaller value than nodes 225 (6) and 230 (16), etc. Note that, aside from the requirement that each node in heap 205 be smaller than either of its children nodes, no order is imposed on the heap. So, although node 225, storing the value 6, is in the third row of heap 205, even though node 220, with the value 8, is in row 2 of heap 205. Similarly, node 235 has a smaller value than node 230, but is lower in the heap *on the other side of the heap*. A heap, such as heap 205, can be called *partially ordered*.

In the preferred embodiment, heap 205 is *left-filled*. That is, children nodes are added to the left-most parent of the last complete row in the heap that does not have two children. Further, if the parent to which the child is to be added has no children, the new child is added as the left child of the parent node. The requirement that heap 205 be left-filled simplifies implementation details, but is not required. Algorithms for constructing a heap from a set of values, for inserting new values into the heap, and for removing values from the heap are known in the art and will not be repeated here.

FIG. 2B shows how heap 205 from FIG. 2A can look after the earliest scheduled time is removed from the heap. In heap 255, node 235 has been re-positioned within the heap as a child of node 260. Similarly, nodes 215, 225, and 260 have been re-positioned. Note that heap 255 still satisfies the heap property.

FIG. 3A shows a hash table that can accompany heap 205 of FIG. 2A. In FIG. 3A, a hash function 305 maps the times from the heap to buckets. Note that the arrows used to show the mapping of the hash function are a visual convenience: in the preferred embodiment, the hash function takes a time and returns an index of the bucket in the hash table. In FIG. 3A, times 2 (310) and 9 (315) map to bucket 1 (320), and times 5 (325) and 6 (327) map to bucket 2 (330). Bucket 1 (320) has two event lists: one for events occurring at time 9 (320A), and one for events occurring at time 2 (320B). Bucket 2 (330) has two event lists: one for events occurring at time 5 (330A), and one for events occurring at time 6 (330B). Observe that multiple times can map to a single bucket; the scheduled times for the events in the event lists in the bucket identify which events are to occur at which times.

In FIG. 3A, event list 330A shows two events in the list: event 1 (332A) and event 2 (332B). Event list 330B has no events in its event list, represented by null pointer 335. This can occur if there were events originally scheduled for time 6 (332), but these events were de-

scheduled. In the preferred embodiment, the event list is a doubly linked list, which can be traversed in either direction.

FIG. 3B shows the hash table of FIG. 3A after a new event has been scheduled. In FIG. 3B, a new event has been scheduled for time 5 (325). Hash function 305 maps time 5 (325) to bucket 2 (330). Since an event list already exists for time 5 (325) in bucket 2 (330), a new event 332C is added to event list 330B.

In the preferred embodiment, events know their location within the event list. De-scheduling an event requires only changing the pointers of events before and after the de-scheduled event in the event list. FIG. 7 shows this process. At step 705, the pointers to the de-scheduled event from the prior and next event in the event list are changed, de-scheduling the event. (Note that, even if the event list for the de-scheduled event's scheduled time is empty, in the preferred embodiment the scheduled time is **not** removed from the heap.) However, in an alternative embodiment, events can be de-scheduled from event lists by using hash function 305 to locate the bucket containing the event list for the time of the event to be de-scheduled, accessing the appropriate event list within the bucket, and removing the event.

If no event list exists for the time for which an event is being added, the scheduled time can be inserted into the heap. How this new scheduled time is inserted into the heap is discussed with reference to FIG. 6 below.

The invention can work with the simulator shown in FIGs. 4A and 4B. At step 405, whether any events remain to be simulated is determined by checking the heap. If the heap still holds any scheduled times, events remain to be simulated. New events can be scheduled at steps 420, 430, and 440. However, a person skilled in the art will recognize that any system requiring event scheduling, and not simulation, can benefit from the invention. For example, callbacks (the execution of software routines at pre-determined scheduled times) can be organized using the invention.

FIG. 5 shows how the heap and buckets are managed outside the context of simulation. At step 505, an event is assigned a scheduled time. At step 508, the bucket storing the event list into which the event is to be added is located. The hash function uses the scheduled time of the event to locate the appropriate bucket. At step 510, the event is added to the event list in the appropriate bucket. At step 515, the event's scheduled time is inserted into the heap, if needed (the event's scheduled time may have been added to the heap earlier). Inserting the event's scheduled time into the heap includes ensuring that, after the event's scheduled time is inserted, the heap retains the heap property. As shown by dashed line 518, steps 505, 508, 510, and 515 can be repeated for as many events as necessary. Once

